SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 09/30/08 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



1996

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Rosemead State: CA

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$6,189,382 PJ's Size Grouping*: C PJ Since (FY):

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (I | Percentile):* |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 92 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 75.11 % | 94.82 % | 92 | 94.94 % | 1 | 1 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 50.72 % | 83.49 % | 91 | 84.35 % | 2 | 1 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0.3 | 5.69 | 77 | 4.59 | 9 | 7 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 73.69 % | 1 | 81.38 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 67.69 % | 60.98 % | 37 | 68.05 % | 39 | 41 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 98.94 % | 78.15 % | 7 | 79.65 % | 86 | 91 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 4.26 % | 41.60 % | 81 | 44.76 % | 1 | 1 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 98.94 % | 91.58 % | 26 | 94.31 % | 51 | 55 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In St | ate: 69 / 92 | Nation | ally: 18 | 15 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$17,412 | \$32,063 | | \$25,245 | 94 Units | 92.20 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$48,143 | \$19,349 | | \$14,395 | 7 Units | 6.90 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$95,739 | \$26,200 | | \$20,186 | 1 Units | 1.00 |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$2,753 | | \$3,142 | 0 Units | 0.00 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (297 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

CA Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Rosemead

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)

PJ: State:* National:** Rental \$22,350 \$122,779 \$88,539

Homebuyer

0.0

33.3

0.0

0.0

Homeowner \$273,692 \$95,739 \$26,987 \$107,438 \$71,594 \$22,853 **CHDO Operating Expenses:**

(% of allocation)

PJ: **National Avg:**

1.09

0.0 % 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index:

| | Rental % | • | Homeowner | TBRA % | | Rental | • | Homeowner | TBRA |
|--|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|--------|------|-----------|------|
| RACE: | 70 | % | % | 70 | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | % | % | % | % |
| White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 76.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 68.8 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 9.7 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |

0.0

0.0

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

Asian/Pacific Islander:

ETHNICITY: Hispanic

| 1 Person: | 45.2 | 16.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|--------------------|------|------|-------|-----|
| 2 Persons: | 33.3 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 Persons: | 6.5 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 Persons: | 8.6 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 Persons: | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 Persons: | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

0.0

31.2

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

0.0 Section 8: 0.0

HOME TBRA: 0.0

Other: 0.0

No Assistance: 100.0

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001



^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

HOME PROGRAM SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): | Rosemead | State: | CA | Group Rank: | 18 |
|----------------------------------|----------|--------|----|--------------|----|
| | | | | (Percentile) | |

92 _{PJs} State Rank: **Overall Rank:** 15 (Percentile)

Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags **Summary:**

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 72.00% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 47.30% | 67.69 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 98.94 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 89.50% | 98.94 | |
| "ALLOCATION-Y | 'EARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.060 | 8.83 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement